

« - 11: »
 « = - 11: ».- 2015.- .1, .2.- .12-16

-
 . . , . . ,
 . . , . .

,
 i i
 i i i ,
 i i

[1,2,3].

90 % - i.
 : 9...10
 % , 6...7 %- [1,2,3,4,5].
 , , :
 . .

$$q = \frac{20,25}{(0,7+u)^2} / = \frac{85,5}{(0,7+u)^2} / ,$$

: - 1 , [2,3,4,5].

- 4 % , 7-9 %

0,005, 0,007, 0,009, 0,12


);

(


2,093, 4,187, 6,28 /);

(1,046,

(1, 2).




1- - 0,004




2- - 0,006

(3, 4).



3- - 1,046 / -



4- - 4,186 / -


, -
 , 0,007
 , 0,005
 ,
 0,005
 ,
 , 0,005
 ,
 7...9 %

i i

i
7...9 %

10-11 %

0,004
2,093 /



5-

5-

1. De Paepe, Domien; Coudijzer, Katleen; Noten, Bart; Valkenborg, Dirk; Servaes, Kelly; De Loose, Marc; Diels, Ludo; Voorspoels, Stefan; Van Droogenbroeck, Bart. A comparative study between spiral-filter press and belt press implemented in a cloudy apple juice production process/ Journal Citation Reports, 2014-Apr-15 – .986-96
2. . . . , 1973. – 288
3. , 1971. – 520
4. I. , 2001. – 552
5. , 1984. – 328